Has the Embrace Care project been made use of for political purposes?
Cornwall’s frail older folk deserve better.

Dr Peter Levin

In the midst of the stress and turmoil caused by the Covid-19 pandemic, work on integrating Cornwall’s health and social care system goes on. Little has been heard of the Embrace Care project since before Christmas, so it’s time to take a closer look at it.

The context to the project was set by NHS England, which wants to see better use made of hospital beds. It also expects all hospitals with a major emergency department to provide an acute frailty service and carry out a clinical frailty assessment of a patient within 30 minutes of their arrival. [1]

The Embrace Care project in Cornwall was set up in May 2019 ‘to focus on improving the outcomes for older people’. It was run by a team from consultants Newton Europe, who reviewed the cases of 54 patients over 65 years old, in a workshop with participants drawn from a range of hospital and care roles.[2]

For each patient, participants were asked: ‘Should this person have been admitted?’ They concluded that more than half of the most frail patients were admitted inappropriately, whereas not a single one of the least frail was.

Of course, the most frail are most likely to have complex needs, such as multiple long-term conditions and mental health vulnerability, so it’s bound to be more difficult and time-consuming to reach a confident diagnosis for them, especially for junior doctors working on their own. Keeping patients in for observation will always be the safest thing to do.

The Royal Cornwall Hospitals Trust says on its website that it provides a frailty assessment service in the Emergency Department and Medical Admissions Unit,[3] but how well does this work? In 2019 Treliske was inspected by the Care Quality Commission. Its report said:

> People could not always access [it] when they needed it and did not always receive the right care promptly. Waiting times from referral to treatment and arrangements to admit, treat and discharge patients were not always in line with national standards.[4]

So here was a situation which Newton Europe’s bright sparks could have investigated, aiming to improve access and waiting times for frail patients, as has been achieved elsewhere. But they didn’t.
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The Community Team would provide support for an older person so they wouldn’t have to go into hospital following a fall or other mishap. It would identify their needs, such as adaptations to their home and therapy to improve mobility. It would help inpatients to return home, and ensure that appropriate care was organized. And it would establish contact with their GP and their carers, and with local organizations that might be able to help re-establish them in their community. There would also be a local bed-based rehabilitation centre, to which the Team could refer patients.

While we might applaud these proposals, there is nothing at all in them to help someone who’s just had a fall and needs a smooth pathway to a hospital bed.

So where did the community team ideas come from? None of the Newton Europe work generated a question to which community teams are the obvious answer.

They are, however, an obvious answer to a political question. The proposal for community teams looks like a bid from Cornwall Council, which would run them, to take control of the health and social care system. It doesn’t follow from the Embrace Care project.

The Newton Europe team appears to be being used, under the pretext of solving a technical problem, to achieve a political objective.

---

This report has also been published as an article under the title ‘Project has been used to fulfil political objectives’ in The Cornishman, 18 June 2020.

**Notes and references** (Checked 16/07/2020)

https://longtermplan.nhs.uk


[3] Royal Cornwall Hospitals Trust, *Older People’s Services*  
https://www.royalcornwall.nhs.uk/services/older-peoples-services/


[5] *Embrace – An Introduction to the Design Phase* was a paper prepared for a Transformation Board meeting scheduled for November 2019. This meeting was cancelled in the run-up to December’s General Election, and the paper is currently not available on any website.